

September 5, 2006
Statesboro, GA

The Board met at 6:00 p.m. in the Community Room of the North Main Annex.

Chairman Nevil welcomed the guests and called the meeting to order. Commissioner Thompson gave the invocation and the pledge of allegiance.

Ms. Evelyn Wilson, Clerk of the Board, performed the roll call of commissioners and staff. The following commissioners and staff were present: Chairman Nevil, Commissioner Gibson, Commissioner Jackson, Commissioner Simmons, Commissioner Smith, Commissioner Tankersley, Commissioner Thompson, County Manager Tom Couch, Parliamentarian and Staff Attorney Jeff Akins, Deputy Clerk Regina Smith, and Zoning Administrator Cheryl Tatum.

Chairman Nevil asked for a motion to approve the General Agenda. Mr. Couch stated that Public Comments needed to be moved right after the public hearing. Commissioner Jackson offered a motion to approve the General Agenda with the one change. Commissioner Simmons seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.

The first order of business was the approval of the minutes of the regular meeting on August 15, 2006 and the workshop on August 22, 2006. Commissioner Tankersley stated that a correction was needed on page 3 of the August 15 minutes. She asked for the sentence to read "she felt the setback distance should be from the cell tower applicant's property line instead of from the nearest residence". Commissioner Gibson offered a motion to approve the minutes of the regular meeting on August 15, 2006 with the correction and the minutes of the workshop on August 22, 2006. Commissioner Tankersley seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.

Next item on the agenda was the Public Hearing for zoning matters. Chairman Nevil asked Ms. Cheryl Tatum, Zoning Administrator, to present the zoning items.

Ms. Tatum stated that Kenneth Meinhardt has submitted an application to the Bulloch County Zoning Department. The intent is to operate a farm winery as a conditional use. The property consists of 105 acres and is located on Kennedy Pond Road. The Planning and Zoning Commission unanimously recommended approval of the conditional use request. No one signed up to speak on the request. Mr. Meinhardt was present to make the presentation.

Mr. Meinhardt stated that he would like to withdraw his application. There are a few matters that still need to be cleared up. Commissioner Smith offered a motion to approve the withdrawal of the conditional use request. Commissioner Tankersley seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.

Ms. Tatum stated that Gordon Mincey, as agent for Willie E. Johnson, has submitted an application with the Bulloch County Zoning department requesting a rezone from AG-5 to R-80 (Residential 80,000 sq feet). The intent of the rezone is to divide the property into 2 lots to place single family residence. The property consists of 4.0 acres and is located on Highway 80. The Planning and Zoning Commission unanimously recommended approval of the rezone request. No one signed up to speak on the request. Mr. Mincey was present to make the presentation.

Mr. Mincey stated that the homes would be the same as the other ones surrounding his property.

Commissioner Simmons offered a motion to approve the rezone request. Commissioner Jackson seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.

Ms. Tatum stated Steve Rushing, as agent for McRemp Properties, has submitted an application with the Bulloch County Zoning Department requesting a rezone from AG-5 to R-25 (Residential 25, 000 square feet). The intent is to divide the property into 5 lots. The property consists of approximately 5.06 acres and is located on Maria Sorrell Road. The Planning and Zoning Commission unanimously recommended approval of the rezone request with the following conditions: (1) all lots should be designed to have public road access; (2) the developer is reminded that there should be a 25 foot buffer from the railroad right-of-way as provided by county ordinance; and (3) a sketch plan for Buckhead Subdivision is to be resubmitted showing access for these lots to subdivision roads. No one signed up to speak on the request. Mr. Rushing was present to make the presentation.

Mr. Rushing stated the plan has changed from 5 lots to 4 lots. Since the property abuts Buckhead Subdivision, they thought they could put some lots as part of the development but cannot. Therefore, one lot was absorbed into Lot 4.

Ms. Tatum stated that since the lot could not be combined with Buckhead Subdivision, she stated that condition #3 needed to be dropped.

Commissioner Smith asked if the developer would have to come back if they decided to further subdivide the parcel. Ms. Tatum stated they would have to come back for Lot 6 because they would have to access with an easement and they don't have 500 feet immediate road frontage.

Commissioner Gibson offered a motion to approve the rezone request with conditions #1 and #2. Commissioner Jackson seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.

Ms. Tatum stated Jim Anderson, as agent for George, Paul and Ray Beasley, has submitted an application with the Bulloch County Zoning Department requesting a rezone from R-40 (Residential 40,000 square feet) to R-25 (Residential 25,000 square feet). The intent is to divide the property into 12 lots to build single family residences. The property consists of 8 acres and is located on Cawana Road. The Planning and Zoning Commission unanimously recommended approval of the rezone request with the following conditions: (1) lots 1, 2, 5 and 10 shall have building structures facing internal roads; (2) all dwelling units must be single-family detached dwellings consisting of traditional site built housing meeting state construction codes; (3) install either a solid opaque fence or landscape buffer at least 6' feet high along the length of the development on Cawana Road for side yard privacy, saving for adequate site distance at the intersection. This can be accomplished by: a) installing a landscaped berm, an evergreen hedge (Leyland cypress, eleganus, hollies, etc.) with a minimum plant spacing of 6-8' feet off-center, or a combination thereof; or, b) installing non-ornamental canopy trees (maple, dogwood, oak, etc.) with a minimum 4" caliper spaced 75' off center mixed with evergreen hedges capable of growing up to 30' at maturity; or c) another similar buffer plan. The actual buffer used shall be approved by the Zoning Administrator prior to installation; and (4) maintain a "no-cut" buffer of trees adjacent to Veterans Memorial Parkway to minimize visual and sight impacts of the neighborhood that should be recorded in the deeds of affected lots. If such trees are proposed to be cleared, the application should be deferred to allow the Georgia Department of Transportation to discuss with County staff a recommended alternative. No one signed up to speak on the request. Mr. Anderson was present to make the presentation.

Mr. Anderson stated that subdivision will have 12 lots with 2 cul de sac streets. The development will be limited to stick built homes. The developers have no problem with the conditions.

Commissioner Thompson offered a motion to approve the rezone request with the conditions stated above. Commissioner Gibson seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.

Ms. Tatum stated Don Marsh, as agent for Frank Roach, has submitted an application with the Bulloch County Zoning Department requesting a rezone from R-80 (Residential 80,000 square feet) to R-25 (Residential 25, 000 square feet). The intent is to divide the property into 77 lots to build single family residences. The property consists of 74.6+ acres and is located on Coley Boyd Road. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended with a 6 to 1 vote approval with the following conditions: (1) all dwelling units must be single-family detached dwelling consisting of traditional site built housing meeting state construction codes; (2) two ingress-egress points will be required to the proposed subdivision accessing Coley Boyd Road; (3) prior to the submission of sketch plan to the Planning and Zoning Commission, the applicant, at their own expense, shall submit a Level II traffic impact study as recommended in the Bulloch County Transportation Plan (with sufficient narratives, maps and exhibits) which shall be certified by a qualified and registered professional engineer, that at a minimum, includes the following: a) Trip Generation Analysis – an estimate of AM (7:30-8:30) and PM (5:00-6:00) peak hour trips generated under existing conditions and after project build-out, b) Trip Distribution Analysis – a 24 hour directional traffic counts and peak period intersection turn movement counts on a typical week day under existing conditions and after project build-out, c) Intersection and Roadway Segment Analysis – assess the need and provide cost estimates for any network or safety improvements for arterial and collector roads in the study area resulting from the impacts created by the proposed development of the subject property, including but not limited to, accel-decel lanes, turn lanes, shoulder widening, signage, signalization or intersection alignments. Particular attention should be given to the existing railroad crossing. The cost of any such proposed improvements attributable specifically to the development of the subject property that is not listed in the County's Five-year Surface Transportation Program or Plan shall be

partially or wholly borne by the developer; (4) all approved residential lots shall have building structured facing internal roads within the subdivision; (5) a dry hydrant will be required with access provided to the County; (6) the developer shall install a fenced or landscaped screen according to screening requirements pursuant to section 407 of the zoning ordinance for all lots bordering the adjacent Light Industrial zoning district; and (7) install either a solid opaque fence or landscape buffer at least 6' feet high along the length of the development on Coley Boyd Road for side yard privacy, saving for adequate site distance at the intersection. This can be accomplished by; a) installing a landscaped berm, an evergreen hedge (leyland cypress, eleganus, hollies, etc.) with a minimum plant spacing of 6-8' feet off-center, or a combination thereof; or b) installing non-ornamental canopy trees (maple, dogwood, oak, etc.) with a minimum 4" caliper spaced 75' off center mixed with evergreen hedges capable of growing up to 30' at maturity; or c) another similar buffer plan. The actual buffer used shall be approved by the Zoning Administrator prior to installation. One person signed up to speak on the request. Ms. Tatum stated that the Planning and Zoning Commission was to present to the staff the conditions but didn't receive them in time for the mailing of packets so these conditions were placed on there by the staff committee. Mr. Marsh was present to make the presentation.

Mr. Marsh went over each condition imposed on the development. Mr. Cody is willing to put in a decel lane if the traffic study calls for one. As far as the buffer, it was decided that the buffer from the Development Authority side would suffice since they are required to have one because of the LI zone. Lots 35 and 36 will have an emergency entrance between them.

Mr. Dan Cody spoke in favor of the request.

Commissioner Tankersley asked if consideration was given to an R-40 rezone. Mr. Cody stated that with the setbacks, wetlands, buffer requirements, greenspace and common area, the lots are less than R-40.

Mr. Couch stated that the staff needs more time to review the revised plans. They would like an opportunity to look at the site plan and see what needs to be negotiated and changed.

Commissioner Thompson asked what issues needed to be looked at besides the decel lane and emergency entrance. Mr. Couch stated that the access for the emergency entrance must allow emergency vehicles to egress and ingress properly. A condition for an easement might have to be placed on the deed so that no property owners will encroach the entrance for safety purposes. The staff would also like feedback from the Ogeechee Railroad concerning safety of the railroad crossing. With a development of this scale, there might be some conflict with the railroad crossing since there is no safety bar or lights at the crossing. The railway must be contacted to get their input on the modification of the crossing, if any. If any modifications must be made, the county or developer more than likely will have to absorb the cost.

Commissioner Smith stated that he did not see how the railroad crossing would be a hindrance in developing this subdivision.

Chairman Nevil asked if it was in our ordinance for the Development Authority to be responsible for a buffer. Ms. Tatum stated that if any industrial or commercial property abuts a residential district or a residential use, then a 25 foot buffer shall be provided. She stated that her understanding from the Planning and Zoning meeting was that given each of them use a 25 foot buffer, then a sufficient buffer will be provided between a residential and industrial use. Mr. Marsh did not agree with hearing that from the Commission.

Commissioner Jackson offered a motion to table the rezone request until the next meeting. Commissioner Simmons seconded the motion and it carried with a 4 to 2 vote. Commissioner Smith and Commissioner Thompson opposed the motion.

Ms. Tatum stated that Freddie Boan, as agent for Joan Lanier, submitted an application to the Bulloch County Zoning Department requesting a conditional use to place a Cingular cell tower. The proposed tower will be placed on 3.81 acres out of 15 acres on Cassie Ree Road. The Planning and Zoning Commission with a 6 to 1 vote recommended approval of the conditional use request with the following condition: a downward deflection light system would be used. Six people signed up to speak on the request. Mr. Wallace Wright was present to make the presentation.

Mr. Wright stated that the main discussion has been centered on the height of the tower. U.S. Antenna has agreed to use the lens system on its lighting so that it reflects

upward rather than downward. The reason Cingular wants the tower is to provide residential in-building coverage. When a tower is located like this, they have a search area of 3/10 of a mile in range. The objective is to find a site within that radius that meets the County requirements. This is the only parcel within the search area that qualifies. If the tower is moved out of the search area, they will not have adequate coverage within Irongate and Saddle Creek. This tower will be a monopole with non-reflective paint. The best location for the coverage that Cingular wants to provide is in the center of Irongate but there is no parcel big enough for that plus it is impractical. If the tower is moved 50 feet, by FAA regulations, Cingular will have to go through different submissions. The height for this tower is appropriate for the area and they meet all the requirements of the County ordinance.

Commissioner Thompson asked if the tower was moved 50 feet would the total area be obsolete from putting a tower there. Mr. Greg Knight stated that they were just within the search range. Mr. Wright stated that the tower was at the outer limits of the range with the center of the circle being in Irongate. The tower is designed for 4 carriers.

Commissioner Simmons stated that he knows we need cell towers but he has noticed that the towers are never placed near the applicant's home. They always place the tower closer to the neighbor's home than their own personal home.

Commissioner Thompson asked for Ms. Lanier's home to be shown in relation to the placement of the tower.

Mr. Wright stated that the placement of the tower has to also do with the elevation of the land. Cingular needs between 405 and 455 feet above sea level to place the tower. That property drops off. Commissioner Smith stated that the property is in wetlands. It is in the lowest part of the property on the map. Mr. Wright stated that the carrier has to get as close to their customers as possible.

Ms. Tatum asked how difficult was it to penetrate walls. Mr. Knight stated that it all depends on the type of building you are trying to get into. Ms. Tatum stated that there was no guarantee that this tower will provide coverage inside the houses. Mr. Knight stated that since these homes were stick built with shingle roofs and not made of concrete and steel, the reception should be clear. This tower was designed to penetrate those types of structures. If he moves outside of the 15 acre parcel, it is not going to do that.

Mr. Jonathan Yates spoke on behalf of Cingular. He stated that Mr. Snavely agreed that the search area was appropriate for what Cingular was trying to do. He stated that every time you pick out a site there will always be controversy surrounding it. He stated that he didn't come to win a popularity contest but to meet the ordinance and he felt that Cingular met the County ordinance. He stated that the tower is visible but you have to look to find it. The lighting will take care of the airplanes and not affect the ground. He cannot say that no one will ever see the tower because this is a line of sight technology.

Commissioner Smith stated that if you move this tower roughly 1,000 feet southwest you would still be in this target range. You would move out of the wetlands but still be on the applicant's other parcel of land. He felt that they needed to look at this issue further and come up with a better location to place this antenna than in someone's back yard. The option is there.

Ms. Nancy Ponder spoke against the request. She lives in Irongate and has perfect coverage in her house with a new phone. She wanted to know why Cingular would not invest their money in newer technology as their competitors have to provide in-house coverage. She also stated that several residents from Irongate felt that their neighborhood was under attack.

Ms. Cheryl Aasheim spoke against the request. She lives in Saddle Creek. The tower is located approximately 300 feet to the closest residence. The height is more than 130 feet over the tallest tree in the subdivision. She felt the company has not looked for alternative sites. There is no significant gap in coverage. The property values will decrease with the tower being placed so close.

Mr. Brian Aasheim spoke against the request. He understands that everyone wants cell phone coverage but he has petitions from the residents stating the residents are happy with the coverage right now. The customers have said they do not care for that service. If you put a cell tower in someone else's back yard, then later down the line someone will come with the same request and do it again.

Ms. Chantel Webb spoke against the request. She lives in Irongate. She stated that no one has asked her if she wanted in-house coverage for her phone. There was no flyer, no email, or anything asking her as a resident if she wanted in-house coverage. She

has service on her cell phone in the house currently. She stated that the property value of residents in Irongate has not been treated with the proper concern and care. The tower is very obtrusive. From her back porch, she will look straight at the tower.

Mr. Knight stated that there were two areas in Statesboro that people continuously came in the retail store complaining about coverage: on-campus GSU and Irongate. That is why Cingular is investing \$200,000 to build this tower. If the need was not there, they would not be spending the money. Alltel and Verizon Wireless operate on an 850 megahertz license. There are only two of those types of licenses. Everyone else operates at 1900 megahertz. They have to do the best they can off of that system and that is why they need to add another tower.

Commissioner Jackson stated that 200 feet does make a difference in reception. He wanted to know how in-house coverage towers were different from traditional towers. Mr. Knight stated that it is all a matter of proximity to the tower. He showed a map of the areas that he can cover within a certain distance of a tower. Commissioner Jackson asked if it would be ideal in emergency situation. Mr. Knight stated that if he put the tower there, residents would be able to use their phone in their houses for emergency situations and do not have to run outside to use their phone.

Commissioner Thompson asked if there was no other location in this area that was less visible than this particular location. A gentleman stated that there was not another location within the search range. This piece of property was the only one within the search area that they were able to meet setback requirements and still stay within the area.

Commissioner Thompson asked if a 300 foot tower near the applicant's house would suffice. Mr. Knight stated that he would have to run it through simulation.

Commissioner Simmons reiterated the point of the tower being placed as far away from the applicant's home as possible and closer to adjoining neighbors.

A gentleman stated that property owners are not contacted until the search area is designed. The search area is not based on residential houses but on coverage.

Commissioner Tankersley offered a motion to deny the conditional use request. Commissioner Simmons seconded the motion and it carried unanimously. See exhibit #2006 – 137.

Ms. Tatum stated that Lee Allen Smokes has submitted an application with the Bulloch County Zoning Department requesting a rezone from Ag-5 to R-40 (Residential 40,000 square residential). The intent of the request is to place another residence on the property. The property consists of 3.74 acres and is located on Martin Swinson Road. The Planning and Zoning Commission unanimously recommended approval of the rezone request. No one signed up to speak on the request. Mr. John Dotson was present to make the presentation.

Mr. Dotson stated there are 2 existing residences and he would like to place another mobile home on the property. There was one comment from the health department but it has been withdrawn.

Commissioner Thompson offered a motion to approve the rezone request. Commissioner Gibson seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.

Ms. Tatum stated that Jeanene Phillips, as agent for Ernestine Peavy, submitted an application to the Bulloch County Zoning Department requesting a rezone from AG-5 to R-40 (Residential 40,000 square feet). The intent of the request is to have 2 manufactured homes or 1 single family residence for rental property. The property consists of 4.28 acres and is located on Macedonia Road. The Planning and Zoning Commission with a 4 to 3 vote recommended approval of the rezone request with the following conditions: (1) site built homes only will be allowed on the property; and (2) a concept plan is to be submitted prior to the Board of Commissioners meeting. No one signed up to speak on the request. Mr. Joe Anderson was present to make the presentation.

Mr. Anderson stated that Planning and Zoning recommended rezoning to allow 4 cottages to be placed on the land instead of mobile homes. The request is being revised to allow them to build 2 cottages on the 4.28 acres which will really only require a rezone to R-80 instead of R-40. They will have to go back before Planning and Zoning to request a variance to be allowed an easement to get to this property. They will be satisfied to rezone it R-80 instead of R-40.

Mr. Couch asked how they would distinguish it as a cottage. Our ordinance does not distinguish cottages from other structures. Ms. Phillips stated the cottages would be

1200 square feet, 2 bedroom 1 bath homes similar to The Cottages on Highway 24. Mr. Couch asked would they be for resale. Ms. Phillips stated they would not be.

Ms. Tatum stated that this property was landlocked when it was created and that was after zoning. The reason behind it was that it was part of an estate and this was the portion of property Ms. Peavy was getting. A note was put on the plat that attaches this piece to the adjacent property and that is the reason this particular property was landlocked. There are grandfathering rights on the other piece of the property but they do not want to disturb it so they are asking for the rezone only on the 4.28 acres.

Ms. Chelsea Morgan spoke against the request. She stated that her house she is building is next to this parcel of land. Every time she came to a meeting, something different was being said about what was going on the property. She heard a trailer park was to be put there, then 4 cottages, now today, it is 2 cottages. She just wants to lock something in and she would like to ask for the condition of stick built houses to be added to the request. The land is in the family and has been for years. She prefers it does not be developed but if so, then she only wants to hear one thing, not several different ideas. She wrote a letter of opposition to the Board and also circulated a petition to surrounding neighbors presenting to them the idea of a mobile home park which was presented to her from the Peavys. The additional 12+ plus surrounding this lot has 6 mobile homes on it, 5 are rentals, 2 businesses and Ms. Peavey residence on it. She would like to see a cap on it. This issue has caused some turmoil within their family. The Peaveys have stated different things about what is to be placed on the property. If homes are added to the property, she would like for them to be stick built homes not manufactured homes.

Mr. J.L. Morgan spoke against the request. He reiterated what his wife, Ms. Morgan, said to the Board. Different things were said about what would be placed on the property. He stated that Ms. Jeanene Phillips told him that she plans on stuffing the lot with as many trailers as possible. With that being said, a petition was started to go against the trailer park. As far as traffic, it will increase and pass by his father-in laws front door. He has a well at the back of his property along with a neighbor. A septic tank being placed that close to their wells is a concern. The ones who are willing to do this development also own 30 acres of clear field at their house. He agreed with the stipulation of stick built homes only with an R-80 rezone.

Mr. Anderson reiterated that they are not asking for a mobile home park. They have asked for R-80 and are willing to do stick built homes even though mobile homes are allowed in R-80.

Mr. Morgan stated that he picked up a copy of the tape from the Planning and Zoning meeting and that Ms. Jeanene Phillips wanted to put cottages in an existing trailer park. One trailer has been moved out. A single-wide trailer has been moved in there to take its place.

Commissioner Jackson offered a motion to deny the rezone request.

Commissioner Thompson asked if the ones opposed to the motion were opposed to the R-80 rezone. Ms. Morgan stated that she does not know what is going on. Every time they show up it is something different. They would like something concrete. They can't talk to the neighbors because it is something different every time.

Ms. Tatum reminded everyone that the land is attached to the adjacent piece of property. It cannot stand alone. Therefore, unless it is rezoned, it has to be a part of the 12 acres. If this property is not rezoned, the only use it has is that they have an additional 4 acres attached to the 12 acres. That is the way it was done under the family provision act. Ms. Morgan stated there is a clause that the land is not to be sold or put outside of the family.

After a few minutes of discussion, Commissioner Jackson once again offered a motion to deny the rezone request. Commissioner Simmons seconded the motion and it carried with a 4 to 2 vote. Commissioner Smith and Commissioner Thompson opposed the motion.

Sign-in sheets for zoning issues are shown as Exhibit #2006 – 138.

Under Public Comments, the homeowners association from Pinemount Subdivision wanted to address the Board concerning drainage problems. Mr. Bruce Waldren stated the different problems that residents were having with drainage problems, especially during a heavy rain. He presented pictures to the Board showing how driveways and sides of the roads have caved in from the rain because of improper drainage. He stated that the residents have spoken to the developer, Ms. Maybelle Sanders, several times but she has not remedied the problems. He asked for the County to take over the roads in the subdivision.

Under the Consent Agenda were the following items for consideration: (1) an agreement with Sea Island Bank for employee direct deposit of paychecks – see exhibit #2006 - 139; (2) a local assistance grant from the Department of Community Affairs for emergency response equipment – see exhibit #2006 - 140; (3) a resolution to approve agreement with Red Cross for Emergency Management and Disaster Relief Services – see exhibit #2006 - 141; (4) an airport hangar lease agreement with Biff Thompson – see exhibit #2006 - 142; (5) an off-premise alcohol license for Mighty Mikes Hot Spot #4; (6) an agreement with DOT for state-aid to pave Gobar/Thompson and Lagoon Roads – see exhibit #2006 - 143; and (7) an agreement with DOT for state-aid for striping, pavement markings and other safety features on 24.08 miles of various roads – see exhibit #2006 - 144. Commissioner Smith offered a motion to approve the Consent Agenda. Commissioner Simmons seconded the motion and it carried unanimously,

There were no items under Old Business.

First item under New Business was a discussion and/or action to consider rescheduling of the time and structure for the first meeting of the month when zoning hearings are considered. Mr. Couch stated that the first meeting of the month can be moved back to 5:00 pm for discussion and/or action on the business agenda items. Whatever items were not finished before the public hearing started could be picked back up afterwards. A citizen stated that 5 may be a problem given the fact that most people do not get off from work until 5 and it may look like the County is trying to hide something. He felt that 5:30 would give people a chance to get here. Mr. Couch stated the consideration could be given to move the public hearing meeting to 6:30. That would give the Board an hour to get the business items out of the way and give the citizens time to get here and sign up. Commissioner Simmons offered a motion to approve the new meeting time at 5:30 with the public hearing starting at 6:30. Commissioner Jackson seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.

Next item under New Business was a resolution to authorize the County Manager to exercise the right to file an initial annexation objection to municipalities as prescribed by state law on behalf of the Board of Commissioners. Commissioner Tankersley offered a motion to approve the resolution. Commissioner Simmons seconded the motion and it carried unanimously. See exhibit #2006 - 145.

The last item under New Business was a discussion of the voting delegate for the ACCG Policy Conference. The Board concurred for Commissioner Tankersley to be the voting delegate.

Chairman Nevil asked for any comments from the staff.

Mr. Couch stated that a joint meeting with the City of Statesboro and the Board of Education will be next Monday at 6 pm at Commissioner Thompson's pond house.

Ms. Kuebler stated that a reception for recent retirees will be held September 19th here at the annex and an employee picnic will be held September 23rd at Mill Creek.

Chairman Nevil stated that an executive session was needed to discuss personnel matters. Commissioner Tankersley offered a motion to go into executive session to discuss personnel matters. Commissioner Simmons seconded the motion and it carried unanimously. Commissioners Gibson, Jackson, Simmons, Smith, Tankersley and Thompson voted in favor of the motion. See exhibit #2006 - 146.

Chairman Nevil asked for other business or comments. Commissioner Tankersley offered a motion to adjourn the meeting. Commissioner Thompson seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.